G. R. S. 59. GPB—15,000- 29-12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-189
~~191~~.

(Muzrai)

File No. 31

(Section)

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month February 1901.

SUBJECT.

File relating to the order sanctioning for taking over under Govt. management the properties belonging to Janganamutt at Belloor Village, Nagamangala Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.
	Muzi 135 of 1911-1912

Memo.

Petition No. 2141 dated 21st February 1900 from Thammiah, manager of the Jangam Matt at Bellur, Nagamangala Taluk, appealing against an order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District directing the petitioner to redeem, within 6 months, the Matt's Inam lands unauthorizedly mortgaged by his father to one Tiruvenkatachar in 1879 and that, in default, the Inam lands will be resumed and fully assessed and confirmed in the possession of the alienee.

And,

Correspondence ending with Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Docket No. -- dated 24th December 1898, submitting the records relating to the above.

The facts of the case briefly are:

The

(2)

The marginally noted Inam lands granted for the support of the Jangam Matt at Bellur were mortgaged in 1879 by Kari Basaviah who was then the presiding Priest of the Matt, to one Vencatachar of Sangam for a sum of Rs 840.

2. In the year 1896 one Vencatadasappa Shanbhogue of the village and Thammiah son of the aforesaid mortgagor Kari Basaviah severally submitted petitions to the Inam Superintendent and the Deputy Commissioner stating that the lands in question are Devadaya Inams granted to the Matt, and praying that they may be resumed from the possession of the Mortgagees and restored to the Matt. The petitions were referred to the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks for enquiry and report. In the enquiry held by that Officer, Sreerangachar son of the original mortgagee and the present holder of the lands, pleaded that at the time the lands were mortgaged to his father – which was previous to the Inam Settlement – they were regarded as Personal Inam and that it would be very hard if he should now be called upon to give up the lands, without

repayment of the loan advanced in good faith by his father &c.

3 The Sub Division Officer, in submitting the records for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner states as follows:—

The present Iyah of the Jangam Matt, Thammiah, has himself attested the mortgage deed executed by his father and he must therefore be considered as a consenting party to the alienation. I do not think that he should be permitted now to repudiate the transaction and to profit by the fact that the Inam was treated as Devadaya in the Inam settlement. The loan was granted in good faith and on the understanding that the Inam was personal. The conduct of Thammiah (the petitioner) and his father led the creditors to believe that it was a Personal Inam. The alienation has lasted now for 18 years. I would not therefore summarily resume the land and restore it to the Matt

"The Government
'on 15th December 1892,'
'in Case No. 14 of 1891'
'Anniah ~~vs.~~ Abdullasha,'
'and on 27th December 1892'
'On petition of Muniyakka'
'No. 373 of 1889,'

"have decided that as the
"Sannads treat the Inam as
"Personal and the mortgagee
"has lent a large sum prior
"to the Inam Settlement, the Inam
"Should be resumed and fully
"assessed, and a money grant
"equal to the assessment made
"to the temple. The present
"Case is similar to the above
"in all particulars. The present
"Jangamiah of the Matt has
"Kept the Matt in good order. I
"am of opinion that if he is not
"able to redeem the Inam wet
"land No. 338, the Inam should be
"resumed and that the full assess-
"-ment of Rs 16 should be made
"over to the Matt as Ready Money Grant."

Deputy Commissioner, concurring
view taken by the Sub Division Officer
that,

" the mortgagor may be given

"six months' time to redeem
" the mortgage, and if he fails
" to do so, within the said period
" the Inam may, as proposed by
" the Sub-Division Officer, be
" resumed and fully assessed,
" and a money grant equal to
" the assessment made to the Matt
" so long as the conditions of the
" grant are fulfilled."

5 Hanmiah has now appealed to Government against the foregoing decision of the Deputy Commissioner and prays that the lands in question may be resumed from the possession of the mortgagee and restored to the Matt, principally on the grounds, that, the lands, being Devadaya Inam granted for the support of the Matt, their alienation is opposed to the late Chief Commissioner's Notification of the 17th November 1877 and that he (the petitioner) was himself no party to the mortgage.

Note:- The records relating to Anniah's case cited by the Sub Division Officer are put up herewith. But the facts

of that case and of the present Case are not similar; in Anniah's Case, the lands alienated were according to the original 'Sannad' Personal Inam; and the alienation took place long before the Grant was settled by the Inam Department as 'Devadaya' Inam with reference to certain old accounts; whereas, in the present Case, the Grant is even according to the original Sannad "Jangam Mánya" — i.e, 'Devadaya Grant' — and not a Personal Inam as alleged by the alienee.

The question has therefore to be dealt with entirely in accordance with the Rules laid down in the late Chief Commissioner's Notification of 17th November 1877.

[illegible signature]

The alienation cannot on principle be upheld and it must under the standing orders be resumed —

that the Jangam
has evidently been a party
to the ~~said~~ alienation and
to the receipt of the ~~said~~ mortg
money. It will be iniqui
if in the name of the Matt
he is allowed to retain the
Inam as also the mortgage
money. The Dt Court should
ascertain whether this Jangam
should not be deprived of
his right to the Matt for his
wrong act of alienating
the Matt Inam and another
competent Jangam appointed
in his place & such suc-
-cessor may be given possession
of the lands. The mortgagee is
left to have his remedy
agt. Tammayya the Jangam
who was a party to the mortgage
and who will be deprived
of the Matt.

MC [illegible]

29.1.07

Note continued:-

The Dewan's orders endorsed
above were issued in Govt. P: No 934 dated

19th February 1901, and the Dy Commr. was requested to report whether Thammiah should not be altogether deprived of his right to the Matt for his wrongful act and another appointed in his place, such successor being placed in possession of the Matt's lands. With refce to this, the Dy Commr. reported (x) that "the appointment of a Jangam to a Matt rested with the disciples of that Matt" and that Thammaiya had died and that his son if nominated by the disciples as his (Thammaiya's) successor may be recognized by Govt. & the management of the Matt lands made over to him subject to the supervision of the Amildar.

(x) His letter No 278 d/ 1st April 1903

Upon certain further infn being called for in this Office Docket No 344 d/ 30th July 1903, the Dy Commr. reports that the Inam

Inam lands of the Matt have been resumed by Govt. and leased out on 'Eka sál', i.e, single year's tenure and ~~that~~ the amount of Gutta has been held in deposit from the year 1901-02 and that the sum of Rs 255-4-1 realized from the produce of the lands is now at the credit of the Matt. He states that the Amildar has been directed to elect a successor to the Matt in consultation with the disciples of the Matt and that a further report will be submitted to Govt. soon after its receipt.

Submitted

The Dy. Commr's further report may be awaited

[illegible]
29/6/04

Yes. File for the present Awaiting further report

[illegible] 3/7/04

Note continued

The Dy Commr, in his present communication No 23 dated 25th July 1904, recommends the succession of Basaviah, the eldest son of the deceased Gangaviah, and the restoration of the Inam lands to him, provided he furnishes proper security that he would keep accounts and feed people and otherwise keep the mutt in good condition.

Submitted

Sd
4/8/04

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

The succession as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner may be approved, but the lands which were dealt with so irregularly by the former

Jangamiah and which have now come under the management of Government, need not, in my humble opinion, be ~~disturbed~~ restored.

The Deputy Commissioner may be requested to report if the Matt has got any other properties either in land or in cash and on receipt of that information it may be considered whether it is necessary to give any portion of the income from the lands now in question to the Jangamiah.

Ananthasami Rao
29/9/04

Subd to the Dewan
through the 2nd Cr.

9.6
29.9.04. — This appointment

it is eminently very clear
that owing and the losses
occasioned to the management
of the Mutt, on the Rajah
surrendering community
as indicated by the
Dy Comm in his letter.
To retain small inams
in Govt management
will not be satisfactory
arrangement as the
Institute is likely to suffer
loss for want of proper
supervision over ~~of~~ the
property.

[illegible]
3/10/04 [illegible]

[illegible] 4/10/04

I quite agree
[illegible]
4. X 04

Recd
4/10/04

[illegible] 5/10/04

[illegible]

[illegible]

To
General Records for refce.

Sd/-
8/11/97

Petition Branch

A spare copy of No 3 put up; and that of No 1 having been exhausted, copy may be taken from the 1 [illegible] Vol. Notification No. 266 of 17-11-77 published in Mysore Gazette at pages 349-50 & 52.

Sd/- 12/11/97

12-11-97

Submitted

Notification No. 266 of 17-11-77 is published in 88 Rev. Manual. The others are put up.

Sd/- 12/11/97

To Dy Comr for disposal

Sd/- 16/11/97

Nd. and drafted

Sd/- 16/11/97

1897

No. 1153

Name of Petitioner Jammaiya

Village Bellur

Taluk Nagamangala

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 28-10-'97

Date of Receipt 4-11-'97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

States that in the above village there is a Jangama Mutt; ~~called~~ that this mutt has grants of both wet and dry lands; & that the wet lands have been mortgaged contrary to

(1) Ch. Commr's No. 3233-5-~~44~~ 44, 42 Rev., of 26-9-

(2) ~~25~~ Notification of 17-11-77

(3) Dewan's Pro: No. 385-7-65- of 24-8-82.

Prays that the mortgaged

ordered to be
Wet-lands may be released
and given back to the Mutt

R 6/11/97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition registered No. 1153 dated 28-10-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Sammaiya of Bellur village in the Nagamangala Taluk, complaining of the mortgage of the village mutt lands contrary to the grant and praying for orders directing their release from the mortgage and restoration to the mutt is forwarded, to~~gether with~~ its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, for disposal.

By order,

BANGALORE, 18/11/1897 19/11/1897

for Secretary.

16/11/97

Reference 30/11/97

1153 of 97 Memo No 632 '97

Encl
1/12/97 reps. per. ...

AK
2/12/97

Submitted
AK
2/12/97

To DC in ...

4/12/97

... 6/12/97

189

No. 1368

Name of Petitioner Jammaiya son of Karubasavavadeiya "Vicharanekartha"

Village

Taluk ~~Bettur~~ Jangam-Mutt Bellur, Nagamangala taluk

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 20-11-'97

Date of Receipt 27-11-'97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil.

ABSTRACT.

With refce to this office Endt No 1153, of — on his petn of 28-10-'97 and to some petn from his opponent (Sreerangachar) — prays that notices may be given to ~~[illegible]~~ him, to the said Sreerangachar, & to ~~the ori~~ complainant ([illegible]) Venkatadasappa in order to move the undesirability of accepting any petn from the opposite party i.e. Sreerangachar in the matter

~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

~~and~~ Aliso prays ~~that~~ his Petn. of 28-10-97 may not sent either to the ~~Dist.~~ Commr. or to the Sub-Divl. Officer for disposal but that the matter ~~be~~ may be settled ~~here~~ in this Office.

[signature] 29/11/97

·682 8-12-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 1368 dated —11-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Tammaiya Son of Karibasava of Bellur village in the Naga-mangala Tk, regarding the alienation of the village matt lands, by mortgage contrary to the terms of grant

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, for disposal. in continuation this office No. Ref. 634 dated -11-97

By order,

BANGALORE, 8/12/1897 — Secretary.

6/12/97

Pet
1332

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 28-4-98
REG. No. 1727
MUZRAI BRANCH

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Sree

Refer at.
28-4-98

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 2141 dated 16th Feby 1898 received at the Dewan's Office from [ ] of Belluru village in the Nagamangala Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner directing the petitioner to redeem a Devadaya Inam mortgaged in 1879 & others, within 6 months,

is ~~forwarded~~ transferred, together with its one enclosure, to the ~~Deputy Commissioner of~~ Secretary to Govt. ~~District, for disposal.~~ Muzrai Department.

By order,

[signature]
Secretary.

BANGALORE,
27 April 1898

Cop. Comp.

33 27-4-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 2141 dated 18-2-98 received at the Dewan's Office from Tammaiya of Belloor village in the Nagamangala taluk, appealing against the order of the Dy. Commr. directing the petr. to redeem a Devadaya Inam mortgaged in 1877 to others, within 6 months

is ~~forwarded~~ transferred, together with its one enclosure, to the Secretary to Govt. Muzrai Department for [illegible]

C2
22-4-98

By order,

[signature]

BANGALORE, 27/4/1898

for Secretary

[initials] 25/4/98

hed.
s of
t was

The petition being unstamped
is submitted without reply
MLG
24/2/98

Reject? Put up the reps, as
the matter seems to have
been previously dealt with in
the Petn. Dept.
MLG 28/2/98

Reps.
[illegible] 28/2/98

Oct / 682 & connected papers put up.
AK
2/3/98.

General. Rd.
Please put up reps of 92 & others.
quoted in the petn (abstract.)
AK
2/3/98

Removed & sent to
Genl. Rd on 4/5/98
~~Petition File No 129 of 92-3~~ }
~~(Petition File No 239 of 92-3~~ }
put up.
[illegible]
2-3-98
[illegible] 2/3/98
[illegible] 3/3/98
Subd. ✓
MLG
4/3/98

Reject the petn. as
being unstamped
MLG 5/3/98

Endt on petn.
P
[illegible] 8/3/98

[illegible]

[illegible]
98

Karibasavaiya (your
ther) mortgaged the wet
Inam lands together with
Kolagas of dry land to
Kata Char, & Gangama
Rs 840/- on 18-10-79 know-
that it was a Devadaya
am, but prior to the settle
of the Inam as such
the Survey Superintendent,
out of this, the wet Inam
only, are in the enjoy
ment of Speerangachar
Honnaiya as heirs of the
Tirusankalachar
~~Speerangachar~~ &
Gangamma, that in the
ari accounts of 1831 as
well as the order of 1822, the
nam is treated as belonging
ungam Mutt & shewn
be held by Chenna Basa-
vaiya, that the accounts of
855, declare Kari Basaiya
the holder of Inam
and that the mortgagees
entered into the transaction
not being aware of the terms
der which the Inam

2

Consequently a report was submitted to the Dy. Commr. in conformity with the Proceedings (x) of the Govt: on the subject. The Dy. Commr. in reply has directed (⊗) that the Encumbrance should be removed within 6 months otherwise the Inam ~~will~~ would be resumed subjecting the same ~~to~~ to full assessment and the proceeds credited to the Mutt till the Inam is freed from the existing Encumbrance. In accordance with the above, Mammaiya, son of the deceased Karibasaiya & the manager of the Mutt, is directed to redeem the property within 6 months from the date of the notice. In the event of failure the Inam will be resumed under the rules & the usual procedure adopted

✓ Ser: No 4 in O.Ds 12-9[illegible]

x Pro: No: ✓10126 / P.7129 d/ 15-12-92

+ 10128 / P.7.239 d/ 27-12-92

+ Ser: No 7 in O.Ds 239[illegible]

⊗ 8/10/1/98 order No: 236

The grounds of appeal are

(1) That the transaction in this case was effected prior to the Settlement in 1878-79 and as such the Inam lands referred to are to be resumed from the present holders in accordance with the Late Ch: Commrs: Proceedings $\frac{3233-544}{42}$* of 26-9-79 & paras 4 & 5 of the Chief Commr's notification dated 17-11-1877.

* [illegible] 1-9-90 Vol: 21

(2) That the recent Proceedings of the Govt: referred to by the Sub-Dn Offr: in his letter to the Dy Commr: do not apply to the present case

(3) That the order, d/ 5-10-90 of the Sub-Dn officer giving over the Inam lands once resumed from the mortgagees after a lapse of 3 or 4 months on the complaint of Venkata-Dasapa, is erroneous-

(4) That under the circumstances of the unauthorized alienation of the Inam, 19 years Kandayam

3/ Kandayam should be recovered from the present holders & credited to the Mutt funds-

(5) That the opinion entertained by the Sub-Dn. officer, that the transaction was effected ignorantly by the parties, is incorrect, as both the mortgagor & the mortgagee lived till very recently i.e 4 or 6 years previous to the present cause of action-

(6) That owing to some misunderstanding between the petitioner, & his deceased father, who lived with his 2nd wife in Chamalapur after a division of property the petitioner is not liable to repay the debt contracted by his father according to Hindu Law-

(7) That the omission of the mortgagees, to introduce measures to recover the loan

granted by them to the petitioner's father even ~~th~~ after they were given to understand at the time of settlement, that the mortgaged property was a Devadaya Inam, is sufficient to. them of their holding—

CB
22/2/98

2141

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2141R
DATE 21-2-98

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 28-4-98
REG. No 1727
... BRANCH

Report [illegible] 21-2-98

[illegible]

ತಾ|| 18-2-98

[illegible] — ([illegible]) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ

ಎದುರು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

1 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

[illegible] — [illegible]

1 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible] ([illegible] ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ)

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 18-1-98 [illegible]

[illegible] 236 / 8-1-98 / 10

[illegible]

[illegible] 28-1-98 [illegible]

[illegible] 25-4-96 [illegible]



(1) ಸಪ್ಪ ಇನಾಂ [illegible] 1871 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ

[illegible] ನೋಂದಣಿ [illegible]

[illegible]

ಪ್ಪಾಗಿಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ——— ಆಧಾರ

ಈ ಸಂಗತಿಯು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿಯೂ ಮತ್ತು 7-8-97ರಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

(1) 17-11-77 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಯ ನೋಟೀಸ್ [illegible] ಪ್ಯಾರಾ 4-5

(2) 26-9-79 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಯ [illegible] ನಂ 3233-544-42 ಪ್ಯಾರಾ 1

(2) [illegible] 15-12-92 ನೇಯ $\frac{10/26}{129}$

ಮತ್ತು 27-12-92 ನೇಯ $\frac{10/28}{239}$

[illegible]

4-5 [illegible]

[illegible]

(3) 1 ನೇ [illegible] 1896 [illegible] 3-4 [illegible] 5-10-96 ರಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]

(4) [illegible] 2-3 ನೇ [illegible]

1 ನೇ [illegible] 2 ನೇ [illegible] 3 ನೇ [illegible]

[illegible] 18-10-79 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ [illegible] 97-98 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7-8-97 ರಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]

[illegible] 19 ವರ್ಷ [illegible]

(5) ಭೋಗ್ಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವಂತೆ [illegible] ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದದ್ದ
ಲ್ಲದೆ ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ರೀತಿ ಭೋಗ್ಯದಾರನ ಯಾವ ಹಕ್ಕು ಭೋಗ್ಯ ಹಕ್ಕಿನ [illegible]
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ತಂದೆಯಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದ [illegible]
[illegible] ಇನಾಂ ಸ್ವಭಾವವನ್ನು [illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯ
ದಾರರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಇಬ್ಬರೂ [illegible] 4-6 ವರ್ಷದ
ಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ಅವರುಗಳು [illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿ
[illegible]
[illegible]

(6) [illegible] 7-8-97 ರಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]
[illegible] ಇನಾಂ [illegible]
[illegible] ಇನಾಂ [illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯದಾರರ [illegible]
[illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯ [illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿ
ದಾರನ ತಂದೆಗೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

(7) ಈ [illegible]
[illegible]

(8) ಭೋಗ್ಯ [illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ತಂದೆಯಿಂದ [illegible]
[illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯ [illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ
ನ [illegible] ತಂದೆ [illegible] 25 ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ
[illegible]
[illegible] 8-9 ವರ್ಷದ [illegible]
[illegible] ಭೋಗ್ಯ [illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ [illegible]
[illegible] ಈ [illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ
[illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ತಂದೆ [illegible]

[illegible]

(೯) [illegible] 1880 [illegible]

(10) [illegible] 7-8-97 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
338	2-36	16-0-0	[illegible]

[illegible]

9 MAR. 98

[illegible]

By order

24-3-98

For Secretary

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 338 [illegible]
2-36 16

[illegible]

[illegible] 1879 [illegible]

[illegible] 18 [illegible] 840 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1831 [illegible]

[illegible] 28-1-78

[illegible] 1522 [illegible]

[illegible]

1555 [illegible]

[illegible]

10/126
87/129
10/128
87/239

1. 15. 12. [illegible]

2. 27. 12. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
Subregr Officer

Enc: 16i6

French Rocks Subdivision Office Dated French Rocks 2nd/15 Dec 1897

From

The Subdivision Officer
French Rocks

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir.

With reference to your Canarese yadi No: 1793 dated 16/19th May 1896 forwarding for disposal petition dated 30th April 1896 from Venkata Dasappa of Bellur in the Nagamangala Taluk to the address of the Superintendent of Inams complaining that the Inam land of the Jangam Muth of Bellur is being enjoyed by third parties and that the same might be resumed and restored to the institution to which it was originally assigned, I have the

honor to report as follows:—

In the year 1822 His Highness the late Maha Raja Krishna Raja Wadiyar granted the marginally noted lands to one Channa Basava Vados of Bellur as Jangam manya. The pari accounts of 1831 bear this out. In the accounts of 1855 the lands are shewn to stand in the name of Kari Basaviah, son of Channa Baswa Vados. During the Inam settlement which took place in 1880, the lands were treated as Devadayam Inam lands and after the survey settlement a Kasar Jodi of Rs 2-4-0 was imposed on the lands shewn below.

Rs a p
7-5 Dy 21 13-5
4-4 D 12-[illegible]

× Dry no. 430 — 1-0-0
Wet no 483 — 1-0-0

		a	g	assessment
Dry lands. No:	308	12	4	12-0-0
	309	0	27	0-12-0
	310/1	4	4	4-0-0
Wet —	338	2	36	16-0-0

3 previous to the Inam settlement Kari basaviah and his sons Malle-Deva Roar and Thimmiah appear

themselves and to have enjoyed them separately. Malle Devaroo and Thammiah mortgaged their share in the wet land to one Gangadhara Setty for Rs 300 and 155, on 27th April and 25th June 1876 respectively; and Kari Basawiah mortgaged his portion of the wet land to one Honniah for Rs 200 on 16th april 1877. They subsequently redeemed them. Karibasawiah again on 18th Oct 1879 mortgaged the whole of the wet land and also 9 Kolagas of dry Inam land to Tira Venkata Char and Gangiah for Rs 84. Thammiah, son of Karibasawiah and Eramma wife of Channa Malle Deveru (deceased) son of Kari-Basawiah and Honniah son of Gangadhara Setty have attested the mortgage deed as witnesses The mortgage deeds are all duly registered under the Registration

act and the lands are shewn in them as, personal Inam lands.

4 The accounts prepared in the Taluk in 1878 for the Inam settlement, shew that the lands were in the enjoyment of one Honniah for the previous 2 years. Thammiah the present Jangamiah of the Bellur Mutt admits having once mortgaged the wet land in dispute to Ganga-Dhara setty on the understanding that it was a personal Inam and states that the facts of its being a Devadayam Inam came to his knowledge after Venkata Dasappa filed his complaint in 1896; that he redeemed the mortgage of 1876 in a short time; that he was not aware of the mortgage made by his deceased father Viribasawiah as he was in bad terms with him; that the mortgage of Devadayam Inam being bad in law and practice the

be restored to the Mutt unencumbered with debts.

5 My predecessor Mr Panchanatha Row held an enquiry into the subject matter of the complaint and finding wet land No: 338 of the Bellur village to be a Devatayam Inam and the Jangamiah of the Mutt unauthorisedly mortgaged it to Tira Venkatachar and Gangiah, ordered the amildar of the Nagamangala Taluk on 19th August 1896 to take back the Inam land in question, from the mortgagee and keep it under Govt management ~~reff~~ referring the present holders, Sree Rangachar and Honniah, to civil courts for redress. They however refused to cede possession of the land and Mr Raghavachar, advocate for Sree Rangachar, presented a protest petition

6 I have looked into the records and heard both parties. Mr Raghava-Char argues that Karibasawiah and his sons mortgaged the wet Inam land in question to one Gangadhara Setty and Honniah in 1876 & 1877, and that Karibasawiah in order to redeem the said mortgage and also to satisfy the Government dues on his Kandayam lands borrowed Rs 840 from the deceased Tira Venkata Char, brother of the present possessor Sree Rangachar and the deceased Tangiah, father of Honniah, and mortgaged the above wet land and also 11 Kolagas of dry land in 1879 previous to the Inam settlement of 1880 under the impression that the Inam granted to his father

that his client's ancestor lent a large sum of money on the mortgaged lands in good faith; that it would be hard to deprive his client of the possession of the land without repayment of the mortgage money and that the land may be fully assessed.

7 The present Iyah of the Jangam matt, Thammiah, has himself attested the mortgage deed executed by his father. He must therefore be considered as a consenting party to the alienation. I do not think that he should be permitted now to repudiate the transaction and to profit by the fact that the Inam was treated as Devadayam in the Inam settlement. The loan was granted in good faith and on the understanding that the Inam was personal. The conduct of Thammiah and his father led the creditors to believe that it was a personal Inam.

The alienation has lasted now for 18 years. I would not therefore summarily resume the land and restore it to the mutt.

8 The Government on 15th Dec. 1892 in Case No: 14 of 1891 appellant B. M. Anniya. Abdullasha and on 27th Dec. 1892 on petition of Munciyakka No: 373 of 1889 have decided that as the sanunds treat the Inam as personal and the mortgage has lent a large sum prior to the Inam settlement, the Inam should be resumed and fully assessed, and a money grant equal to the assessment made to the temple

9 The present case is similar to the above in all particulars. The present Jangamiah of the mutt has kept the mutt in good order. I am of opinion that if he not able to redeem the Inam with land no. 338 the Inam should be resumed and that the full assessment of Rs 16 should be made over to the mutt as ready money grant

10 The vernacular papers on the subject are herewith submitted for reference.

I have &c

(Sd) K. P. Pootte ma Chetty
Sub Dn Officer French

[illegible]

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Sub Division Officer
French Rocks.

Dated 8th/10th January 1898.

No. 236 En: Canarese file.

His letter No 821 dated 2d

Nagamangala Talook

ecember 1897 reporting on the petition of Venkatadassappa of Bellur in the Nagamangla Taluk regarding the unauthorized alienation of the Inam land attached to the Jangam Mutt of Bellur.

In reply has the honor to state that under the circumstances explained the view taken by the

Sub Division Officer in the case is correct and in this view is supported by the marginally[x] noted Government decisions cited by him.

The mortgager may be given six months time to redeem the mortgage and if he fails to do so within the said period, the Inam may as proposed by the Sub Division Officer be resumed and fully assessed and a money grant equal to the assessment made to the Mutt so long as the conditions of the grant are fulfilled.

Enclosures are returned.

(Sd) C. Madiah
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Copy

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

1. Decision dated 15th December 1892 Communicated with G.O. No. 10126 / P 7129 dated 15th [illegible]

2. Decision dated 27th October 1892 Communicated with G.O. No. 10128 / P 3 dated 2nd [illegible]

45

II Pro: by
Kaimmick
7/8/97

Register Sheet no 265.

Belluru Village

Belluru Hobli

Nagamangala Talook

Copy of the Minor Inam Regis of

COMMISSIONER MYSORE

Extent and value of Inam

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this Village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey number and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent. Local measure even where the local measure is in the acres, gontas and annas it is to be entered in this column.	Measure in the acres and their fractions in decimals.	Old assessment. Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by the Government the cess paid by the Ryots to the Inamdar or the average assessment of similar Government Land should be entered in this Column.	Survey assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
T D No 252 34.	Jangam Matt.	H. Jari acct of 1831 Dry No 430 Wet 483	Krish K. c. p 1 — — — 1 — — — 2 — — —	— " —	Beriz r. as. p 21-13-1 12-12-10 34-9-11 Dry 7-5- wet 4-4- 11-9-	— " —

Tittle Dee No 53907
Date of Reg 1-10-80
Date of issue to Taluk }

24-5-97

24-5-97

age

Description, Tenure, and Document in support of the Inam

Description of Inam. If for Service it is to be stated whether the service is continued, if for tanks, buildings &c whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax or liable to quit-rent, i.e. jodi &c amount of latter to be entered.	By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim original Sunnud all miscellaneous documents or other proofs of long possession. — In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost &c and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given. —
8	9	10	11
For the support of the Idugam Matt in this village. The Matt is in good order and hospitality is shown to the travellers who go to it.	Free	— " —	

Samat Belluru.

COMMISSIONER * MYSORE *

Name and relationship of original grantee and of

Name of original Grantee	Name entered in the Register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts Subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—		B. 37
Do.	— —	H Jari acct of 1831. Jangam Monya Chenna Basava Vader according to the order of the year Chitra Bhanu 1822.
		Dry K. C. P. 1—"—" P—7—" 7—5—"
		Wet 1—"—" 4—4—"
		2—"—" 11—9—"
		B. 126.
		H. J. acct of 1855. Kari Basiah. rest as above.

agamang

Subsequent and present holders. Length of possession.

Particulars regarding present owner, if the Inam has been sub-divided the name &c of each share should be entered in this Column

Residence, Name and age &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent Registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders possession how obtained and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Bellary. Jangam Matt Managers pro tem.	— " —	— " —	— " —

INAM COMMISSIONER * MYSORE *

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistants Opinion and Recomendation.

19.

1. Complete grant Valid Devadaya.
2. H. G. acct of 1831 adopted.
3. To be confirmed so long as the object of
T.D.2 the grant is duely fulfilled, on rent-free tenure.

(Signed) C. Vyasa Rao.
Offg. Assistant.
30/5/79.

Review by the Special Assistant and Confir-
-mation by the Inam Commissioner.

20.

Confirmed.

(Signed). Robt. Cole.
Supt. of Inam ~~Settlements~~.
19—5—80.

"Copy"

[signature]
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements.

Compared
& Bangalore.
D/ 15th June 97.

Words — 585.
Fee — 0-11-0

INAM COMMISSIONER * MYSORE *

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition Registered 16·2·98
No. 2141·1332 / 1727 dated ~~16 February 98~~
received at the Dewan's Office from
Shammiah of Bellur
in Nagamangala Taluk
appealing against the
order of the Dy Commr.
~~Mysore Dist.~~ directing the
petitioner to redeem the Devadaya
Inam land mortgaged in 1879

is forwarded together with its one
enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore District,
for favour of a brief
report.

By Order,

Draft

Muzrai Secretary.

BANGALORE,
The 12.7.1898.

30/3
/98

C(497) P.F. 129 & 233/92

Ref: put up.

AK

2/4/98

Subd.

2 Rs stamp affixed - Stamp Sufft.

The Sub Dr. Coffr's ~~order~~ notice appealed against and dated 18-1-98, is based on a previous order of the Dr Comr therein quoted

(appeal within time

98

Appeal against Dr Comr

dec directing the petr to deem a Sevadaya Inam ~~within~~ mortgaged in 1879 & others - within 6 months

Does not appear to be a Comr's case unless it comes under "claims relating to Sergie Inam Lands"

It is not clear if this petn should be disposed of in the Muzrayi Dept.

The two files here put up arose prior to the appointment of a Muzrayi Supdt. though the cases in the orders were passed subsequently.

At present the enforcement rules relating to the alienation of adaya &c Inams is left to Muzrayi Dept. Orders solicited whether this may be transferred to the Muzrayi Dept.

Subd to 2nd Comr

13/4/98

Ps 98

25/4/98

Nr. 2141

&

is petn for being unstamped.

Resubmits the petn No. 2141 with its enclosure duly affixing ~~a~~ stamps to the value of Rs 2/=

C.R.

29/3/98.

No 1669

Emp/

నాగమంగల తాల్లూకు బెళ్ళూ

రు జంగమ మఠద విభూతిరాశి తేర్

త- ది బసవదేవరవుగ కమ్మయిగె

నిల్వద్దు బూరిగె జాతీరమూ

ది తేట్యూం 16-2-98 నేయుర్యూం $\frac{2198-1332}{1727}$

సేరంబరు అప్రిలు అర్జిపరాంబరి

సలాం యిచ్చు.

సదరి జంగమమఠద యిరాంధి

చమలయ విభూతిరాశి ప్రేంచరాత్రి

సబరి విబర్ అ ఫిలసరవరు మూదలు

వఆరి తరి మేలలో మూ రయు తే పశ్చిమె

తమిలపసరవరిగె అపిలలామూది కో

క్క తక్ష బ్దా గలుర్తే. ఆ దదారవిగ్య

అచికసంగతిభంద తా. యిన్న వాపసు

మాడిదే.

By order

25/28-6-98 Fair Abh

Huzr Secy

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 5-7-98
Reg No. 26
MUZRAI BRANCH

[illegible] 2189-133 [illegible] 1-7-98

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗಾರ್ನಮೆಂಟು ಕೌನ್ಸಲರವರ ಹುಜೂರ
ಸಾನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ—
ತಾ|| 19-3-98 ನೇಯ ನವಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಂಬರು
2189-1332
1727
ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ನಂಬರು
1669
25-6-98
28

ತಾ|| 30-6-1898

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ } ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು
ಜಂಗಮ ಮಠದ ದೇವಿಚಾರಣಾಕರ್ತ
ಕರಿಬಸವ ವಡೇರ ಮಗ ರಮ್ಮಲಯ್ಯ

ಪ್ರತಿಕಕ್ಷಿ } ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮುನೆಗೆರೆ ಪ್ರಾಂತದ [illegible]
ತಿರುವೆಂಕಟಾಚಾರ್ರು - ಮತ್ತು ಇವರ ತಮ್ಮ
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಾಚಾರ್ರು ಸಹಾ [illegible]
ರು ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಕ್ಕಳ್ಗಡಾ ಬರು

ವಿವರ ಮೊತ್ತ: ಅಪೀಲ್ ಮಠದ ಇನಾಂ ತರೀ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು 1-2-3
ನೆ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿ ಮಠದ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾ
ರನ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ ಮತ್ತು 1-2-3 ನೆ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳ ಅಕ್ರಮ
ಪೋತ್ಯಕ್ಷ [illegible] 18-10-79 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ ಬಾಗಾಯತು [illegible]

…ಅನರಾಜು 19. ರುಷಿ ದಪ್ಪರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಕಂ

ಯವನ್ನು 1-2-3 ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳಿಂದಾ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿರಿ. ಆಪರದ
ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೈಬಿಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಕೆ
ಸ್ಟ್ರೇನಲಾಗಿವರೆಗೆಯೂ ಅಬ್ಕಾಯಲ್ಲಾ ವಿನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡ
ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರ 236/8-1-98 ನೇ ನಂಬ್ರ ಅಮಲ್ ಜಾರಿ ಆಗ
ಕೂಡದೆಂತಲೂ ನನ್ನ ತಾರುಮುಸ್ಸಂದಯಪಾಲಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ - (1) ಕಾಲ ಅಬಕಾರಿನಿಂದಾ ನಾದರಾದ
1669 ನೇ ನಂಬ್ರದಿಂದಾ ಆರ್ಜಿನೊಡಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ - ಅಪೀಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಕಾ
25-6-98/28 ರಣಾಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಮುಲ್ಲಾ ಇದರೂಡನೆ ಕಾ
ರ್ಮಾಡಿರುವ 18-1-98 ನೇ ಮುನೋಟೀಸ್ ವಿಷಯಲೂ ನನ್ನ
ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರ ನೋಟೀಸಿಗೆ ಬರದೆಇಂದಾರ್ಜು ಸ್ಪ
ಷ್ಟರೂಪದಾಗಿವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡುತ್ತೆ -- (2) ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನ
ವರು ಬದರ್ರೀರನ ಅಹವಾಲನ್ನು ನ್ಯಾಯವನ್ನಾಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ
ತಂದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಮತ್ತ್ಯಾರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿ
ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೊ ದೇವರ ಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ವೇದ್ಯವಾಗಬೇಕು - ಇಗಾ
ದಬದರ್ರೀರು ನಿರ್ಧಾರ ಮಾಡುವದು ಅಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತೆ

3) ಇದರ ಮುಲ್ಲಾವಿನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಂಪುಗೆರೆಇಂದಾ ಗುರ್ತು
ಮಾಡಿರುವದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅಪೀಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂ
ರ್ಣಿಯಾಗಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸೋಣವಾದರೆ ಅಪೀಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ್ದೆಂ
ದಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸ್ತಕ್ಕದ್ದೆಂತಲೂ ಘನವಾದ ಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ವೇದ್ಯವಾ
ತ್ತೆ - ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರ ಪೈನಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನ ಚೆಡಿವಿಜನ್
ಪ್ರವಿರು - ಉದಡ್ಲರ್ಸ್ಲೋಟ್ 18-1-98 ನೇ ಮುನೋಟೀಸಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಪೀಲ್
ತಂದುಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ -- ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಪಂದರವರು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಗರೀಬ
ಜನದಾರನ ಹೋರಿಕೆಯಂತ್ತೆ ಸ್ಸಂದಯಪಾಲಿಸೋಣದರಮೂಲಕ
ಬಡಬಗ್ಗರ ಮತ್ಯಾರ್ಥವನ್ನಾ ಧರ್ಮವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ (ತೆಂಪ್ಪ/ ಯ ನಪ್ಪ

13-7-18
62

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ಸು-ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ರವರ ಹುಜೂರ
ಪಾದದ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ
೧೯-೩-೧೮ನೇ ವರ್ಷಂ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅಬ್ಸನಂಬರು ೨೧೪೧
೨೭-೪-೧೮-೨೩೯೦

ತಾ|| ೪-೨-೧೮
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ

ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು ಜಂಗ
ಮಮರದ ವಿಚಾರ ನಾಯಕರ ರಂಗಯ್ಯ

ಸಾಹ್ರಾದ್ರಿ ... ದಸ್ತೂರು ವದೆ ನಂದರೆ
ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ನಂಬರು ೧೬೯
೨೭-೬-೧೮
ಮೈಸೂರು ಡಿಪುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರ ೨೭೬ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಡಿಸ್ಮಿಸ್ಸಲ್
೮-೧-೧೮

ಮೇಲೆ ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ೧೮-೧-೧೮ನೇ ವರ್ಷದ ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಸದರಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ತಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಬಾಬಿನಲ್ಲಿ - ಪುನಹ ೨-೨-೧೮ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಫೋಪಿಡಿಟು ನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡವಾಯಿದೆಯು ಚಾಲ್ತಿ ಮಾಹೆ ೨೭-೭-೧೮ನೇ ಬುಧವಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರೈಸುತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದಾ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಕೇಸ್ ಫೈಸಲಾಗುವರಿವಿಗೂ ಸದರಿ ೧೮-೧-೧೮ನೇ ವರ್ಷಂ ನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗೂ ವಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಅಮಲ್ ಜಾರಿ ಆಗದಂತ್ತೆ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಕುರ್ಶ ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ - ಅಪೀಜ ನೋಟೀಸು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿ ಆಗುವದಾಗಿಯೂ - ಮೊಬಲಗು ಪಾವತಿ ವಿಷಯವಿಲ್ಲಾ ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿ ಶ್ವಾನುಭಾಗರು ತಗಾದೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರಾಗಿ ಭಯಪಟ್ಟ ನಾಗಿ ಈಗ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಜಾಹೀರ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆನೆ ಶೆಂಬ್ಬುಗು ನಿರು ೭...

137

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ರವರ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನವಾದ
ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ

೧೬-೨-೯೮ ನೇ ಯಿಸ್ವಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಂಬರು ೨೧೪೧-೧೨೨೨ / ೧೨೨೨
೧೯-೭

ಮೈಸೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟು ಡೆ.ಕ.ರವರ ಡಾಕೆಟ್ ನಂಬರು ೨೭೬ / ೮-೧-೯೮ / ೧೦

ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ನೋಟಿಸು ತಾರೀಖು ೧೮-೧-೯೮

ತಾ|| ೨೦-೨-೯೮ ರಲ್ಲು } ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು ಜಂಗಮರ ದವಿಚಾರಗೌಕರ ಕೆಂಚಮ್ಮಳಯಾವಿ
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ

ಪ್ರತಿಕಕ್ಷಿ } ಸದರಿ ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು ವೆಂಕಟದಾಸ ಪಪ್ಪಿವಸ್ತ್ರಿ ರೆಳಬನಾ

ಅಪೀಲ್ ದಾರಳಮ್ಮಳಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಡಿಟೋ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮಲುಪಿ ಷಿ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ರವರ ೨೭೬ ನೇ ನಂ ಡಾಕೆಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರಾ ಸಬ್ ಡಿ. ಆ. ರವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ೧೮-೧-೯೮ ನೇ ಯ ನೋಟಿಸು ೨೮-೧-೯೮ ರಲ್ಲು ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಮೇಲೆ ಜಾರಿ ಆಗಿರುವದರಿಂದಾ ಸದರಿ ನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡ ಮೆಕೀರ್ತಿಂಗಳು ಮಾಯಿದೆಯು ೨೮-೨-೯೮ ಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರೈಸುತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದಾ - ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಕೇಸ್ ತೈಸಲಾಗುವರಿಗು ಸದರಿ ನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಅಮಲ್ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡ ಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಡೆ.ಕ.ರವರಿಗೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ

ಕೆಂಚಮ್ಮಳ ರುಜು

Vide Eng: memo: No. 96.
12-7-98.

Muz. 5]

ನಂ. 133.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್‌ಕಚೇರಿ

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು ಬಂಗ
ಮ ಮಠದ [illegible] ಕಕ್ಕ ಕಿಲಗಿನವ
ವ [illegible] ಮುಗಕ [illegible]ವಗೆ.

ಎಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಜೂಲೈ ಮಾಹೆ
20 ನೇ ಮುರ್ಕುಂ 137 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಯನ್ನು~~ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ
ರಿ [illegible]
[illegible] ~~ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಇದೆ~~ ರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. [illegible]
[illegible] —.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 28 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜುಲೈ 1898 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Draft [illegible]

Muzrai Secretary.

[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date ....
REG. No 1194
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಹಜೂರ್ ದಿವಾನರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ
[illegible] ನಂಬರು ೨೨
[illegible]

ತಾ॥ [illegible] [illegible]	ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು [illegible] ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ

ಸದರಿ ಮಠದ [illegible] ಮಾನ್ಯ [illegible] ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ [illegible]
[illegible] ಅಪೀಲ್ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

1 Ref
4/10/98

೪ ಅಜಿ

4-10-98
5-82

ಶ್ರೀ/ಸಿರೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್
ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜರೂರ ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾ
ನಕ್ಕಿ
ಬನಾಬ ಇಂದಾರೈಜ್ಞಂ ನಂ 23
12-7-98

ಕಲಂ ೧-೧೦-೯೮ / ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ } ನಾಗಮಂಗ್ಲದ ಕಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು ಯಂಗಯ್ಯ ರದ ವಿಚಾರಣೋಕ್ತನಾ ಕಂಟ್ರ್ಯಯ

ಪ್ರತಿಕಕ್ಷಿ } ರಡಗರ ಹೊಸ್ಕಿಯ್ಯಾ ವಸ್ತಿ

ಸದರಿಯ ರದ್ದನಾಂಕರಿಬ ಯಾನು ಅಕ್ರಮ ಜ್ಞೊಸ್ತ
ದಾರ್ರಾದ ಪ್ರತಿಕಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಕಪ್ಪಿರಿಯರ ದಿಲುಪಿ
ಯಿಲಾಗಕ್ಕೊಸ್ಸರಾ. ಯಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ನಿಪ್ಪದ್ದು ಮಾಡಿರಿ
ಕೆಲ್ಲಾದ ಬಕಿಂದ್ದುಲ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ೧೬-೨-೧೮ನೇ ಯ ಪ್ಪಂಅ
ಪೀಲ್ಟಿ. ೭೧೪೧-೧೭೭೭ ನೇ ನಂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಯಿಕಂಡನಾ
೧೭೭೭
ಯಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ಪನವಾದ ಚಿಕ್ಕಿ ಕಂದು ಫಿದಿ ಕಿಲಾ
ರಿಕೆಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕಂ ದಯ ಪಾಲಿಸೋಣವಾಗಬೇಂದು ಬೆಳಸ್ಸೇನ
ರಿಯ್ಯರಂಗಯ್ಯ

16/11/98

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 16/11/98
742
LETTER BRANCH

6 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

16-2-98 [illegible] 2141-1332
19-3 1727

[illegible] 23
12-7-98

[illegible] 236
8-1-98
10

[illegible] 18-1-98

[illegible] 9-11-98 [illegible] | [illegible]

[illegible] | [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

From

The Muzrai Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Distt.

Dated 28

Despatched 28th November '98.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 600
M. No.

This Office No. 96 dated

12th July 1898, forwarding a ~~petition from Thammiah of Bettur in Nagamangala Taluk appealing against the order of the Dy Commr, and requesting~~ ~~for a brief report~~ on the subject.

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply

"By Order"

[signature]

[signature]

Muzrai-Secretary.

From the Same no 86 d/ 1/12/98

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 7.1.99
Reg. No 940
MUZRAI BRANCH

1898-99

From

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Dept

Dated
Despatched
Received } 5 January/99

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding Koob. Kari submitting additional records connected with the alienation of the Inam lands attached to the Jangam Mutt at Bellur in the Nagamangla Taluk

S Rur
Refer 01
7-1-99

పుట 65 వ

రహంబకారి

మహారాజ రాజశ్రీ

పి రామానుజ నర్ - రైట్ అయ్యంగారి

బ తారీఖు 4/5 నే ఫిబ్రవరి

1899 నే సంవత్సరం

తేలంక్షాం
అయ్యంగారి

ఫ్రాంక్ - రేమ్యూల్ రామారావు

నా యొక్క ఆలయ్యంకి బెష్టు

గుడ్డి సముద్రం కోసం సంబంధి

సంబంధమైన నకల్ల్ల కబురు

యొక్క సర్వతే రాజు కంగారు...

24-12-98 నే ...- 92 - నే నంబరు

రిపోర్ట్ రవాణా...

...

౨౮ ౮ ౭౮ సోమవారం. $\frac{458}{60}$ నంబర్

ఉదయాది యుదగాలు త్రింశతి ఘాతాలు

నిరంతర నిల్పా చేస్తే నవదిశలో కోయు

దగ్గరగా నేలపై వాళ్ళు — యుగములు

వలన నవదిక్కు కోటి ఘటిక నెత్తి

పాస వెల్లువ యుగాల గువ్వ సోర ముల్లు

తల్లి నిలుపులు నిలవలే ఆకాశ వరుసలు

ముని నేర్పిన నీటి గగన ప్రయాణం

గా వాళ్ళకోలా —.

10 [illegible]

This may be put up with file. [illegible]

10-8-1899

[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN [illegible]
MUZRAI [illegible] DEPARTMENT. 9 [illegible]

Name of Pet.

Date of Petition

Regr. No. 173 Date of Registering 12-8-99

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 16-2-98 / 19-3 [illegible] 23-133-454

[illegible] 30-7-99 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1-10

[illegible]

[illegible]

1896 [illegible] 17844 / 679 [illegible]

[illegible]

4/11/99
Ref.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

Name of Petr. ______________________

Date of Petition ______________________

Regr. No. 658 Date of Registering 25-11-99

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

When are the previous refers?
14/12/99

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

23-11-99

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MY
MUZRAI DEPARTM

Name of Pet.

Date of Petition

Regr.N   Date of Registering ~~23-11-9~~

[illegible]

[illegible]

19-11-99 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 16.2.98 / 19.3.

[illegible] 23 / 12-7-98 [illegible] 133 / 28.7.98

454 / 28.11.98 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

14[illegible]

Refused [illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYS[illegible].
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

Name of Petr.

Date of Petition

Regr. No. 86 of Register in 18-12-9[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ: ಸ್ವಾ

ಶ್ರೀ [illegible]

ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ತಾ|| 16-2-98 / 19-3 - [illegible] 23 / 12-7-98

ತಾ|| 14-12-99 } ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible]

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ } [illegible]

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ } [illegible]

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ

ಸದರಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 12 [illegible]

[illegible]

14 [illegible]

1/1/1900

Eng Refer 9/1/1900

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date ....
REG. No 981
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
[illegible] ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ

ತಾ॥ 16-2-98 ನೆ ತಾರೀಖು ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ 19-3
ಬಂದ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ನಂಬರ್ 23/12-7-98 ಮತ್ತು 133/28-7-9[illegible]
454/28-11-98

ತಾ॥ 4-1-1900 } ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೆಳ್ಳೂರು ಜಂಗಮ
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ } ಮಠದ ವಿಶ್ವಾರಾಧ್ಯರ [illegible] ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ

1 ಸದರಿ ಮಠದ ಇನಾಂ ಜಮೀನು ಮಠದ ವಶಕ್ಕೆ

ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ

ನ್ಯಾಯ [illegible] ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ

[illegible] ಇದುವರೆಗೂ [illegible]

[illegible] ಪುನಃ [illegible]

ಮತ್ತು ಸದರಿ ಇನಾಂ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ

[illegible] ಬೇಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ — [illegible]

1898-99

From

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 10-12-98
REG. No 351
MUZRAI BRANCH

Dated 7th
Despatched 8th
Received
December 1898

General No. 84
No.

Refer as to 1288

Enclosure No. four.

His official Memorandum No 96 dated 12th July 1898 forwarding for report a petition from one Thammiah of Bellur in the Nagamangala Taluk, appealing against the order of this office, directing him to redeem the Devadaya inam

To the same no 721 d/14/12/98.

Vide no 934 d/19/2/01

Land mortgaged in 1879

Has the honor to forward herewith Copy of French Rocks Sub Division Officer's letter No. 821 dated 2d December 1897, and of this office order appealed against, and to state that the Sub Division Officer's letter explains the facts of the case and the points urged in the petition.

2. The enclosures of the memo under reply are herewith retransmitted.

[signature]
For Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

MUZRAI

1898

From
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To
The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in
Mysore

Dated 14 Decr 1898.
Despatched 14
Received
General No. 717
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the marginally noted ~~case~~ Grant of Devadaya Inam may be forwarded to this office for reference, in connection with-

By order

[illegible]
Muzrai [illegible]

Serial No. 837/252
[illegible] Bellur village
[illegible]

Name of Inamdar
Manager for time of
the Jangam Matt
[illegible]

Description of Inam
Devadaya -
Particulars of Inam land

Old Nos	Extent	Former Asst	Jodi
430	1-0-0	21-13-1	nil
483	1-0-0	12-12-10	
	2-0-0	34-9-11	

From the same no 39 d/13 1/99

3 7/2/07

1898-99

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To Muzrayi
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE
378
MUZRAI.
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 3rd }
Despatched 4th } January 1899
Received }

No. 39. of 1898—99

Enclosure No. one.

His docket No. 717 dated 14th
Ultimo.

As requested in the above,
has the honor to forward,
herewith, an extract of the
Inam Register pertaining to
the grant of Devadaya Inam
of Jangam Matt at Bellur
village, Nagamangal Taluk.

[signature]

Supt. of Inam Settls.

Recd 6-1-99

no 34 d/ 19/2/07.

R
Tale
Hobl
Villag

34 d/ '9/2/07 [illegible]

Register of Inams in the Village of Belluru *in the*

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
			Huzur gari account of 1831	
			Kristnaraj K. C. P	
34	Jangam Matt	Dry No: 430	1- " - "	
		Wet 483	1- " - "	
			2- " - "	

Sammat of Bellura **in the Talook of** Nagamangala

Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c, Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
Beriz C. T. V. Ro. Ans. Pie Dry — 7-5-" 21-13-1 wet — 4-4-" 12-12-10 11-9-" . 34-9-11		For the Support of the Jangam Matt in this Village The Matt is in good order and hospitality is Shown to the travellers who go to it.	Free

34. d/ 9/2/07.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.	
By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
		Huzur jari account of 1831 Jangam Manya Chenna Basava Vader according to the order of the year Chitra Bhanu 1822. K. C. P. P. F. V Dry - 1 - " " 7 - 5 - " Wet - 1 - " - " 4 - 4 - " 2 - " - " 11 - 9 - " Huzur jari account of 1855 Kari Basiah rest as above.

34 19/2/07.

[illegible]

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Bellur Jangam Matt Manager Protem	"	"	

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20
1. Complete grant Valid Devadaya 2. Huzur jari account of 1831 adopted. 3. To be confirmed so long as the object of the grant is duly fulfilled, on rent-free tenure. (Signed.) C. Vyasa Rao 30/5/79 Offg. Assistant	1 Confirmed (Signed) Robt. Cole 19/5/80. Supdt. of Inam Settlements

'Copy'

[signature]

Inam Superintendent's office Bangalore Dated January 1899. } Supdt: of Inam Settlements

Compd [initials]

MUZRAI

1898

From
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To
The Dy: Commissioner
Mysore Dt.

Dated 14 December 1898
Despatched 14
Received
General No. 721
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

His Docket no 84 dt 7th Decr 1898 reporting on the Appeal Petition of Thammiah of Bellur in the Nagamangala Taluk ~~re the~~ redemption of ~~the~~ Inam lands ~~belonging~~ ~~enjoyed~~ ~~by the~~ Jangam Matt of Bellur

in the matter of the alienation of certain [illegible] endowed

Has the honor to request that the entire vernacular records of

From the same No. 92 dt 24.12.98

34 dt 19/2/07.

enquiry may be submitted
to this office for reference.

By order

As
Mil: Secy

[illegible]

2.199
37
... BRANCH

1898 9.

From

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Depth

Dated 24th
Despatched 26th
Received
December 1898

General No. 92
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file of V.P

As called for in his office docket no 721 dated 14th Instant, has the honor to forward herewith the Entire Vernacular records connected with the alienation of the Inam lands attached to the Jangam Mutt at Bellur in the Nagamangala Taluk. [illegible]

For [illegible]missioner

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read:—

Petition No. 2141, dated 21st February 190[illegible], from Thammiah, the Jangam of the Matt at Bellúr, Nagamangala Taluk, appealing against the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, in the matter of the alienation of the Inam lands belonging to the Matt.

And,

Correspondence ending with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Docket No. 92, dated 20th December 1898, submitting the records relating to the above.

—— " ——

Order thereon:—No. 934 Inc. file Inaz F 31-98

Dated Bangalore, 19th February 1901.

Village	Extent K. K. P.
No. 483	1-0-0
No. 430	0-9-0
	1-9-0

The marginally noted Inam lands granted for the support of the Jangam Matt at Bellúr were mortgaged in 1879 by the petitioner's father Kari Basaviah, who was then the Jangamaiyah of the Matt to Tiruvenkatachar and Gangammaa for a sum of Rs 840.

2. In the year 1896, Venkatadasappa the Shanbhogue of the village petitioned the Inam Superintendent, complaining of the unauthorised alienation of the Devadaya

is therefore requested to report whether the petitioner Thammiah should not be altogether deprived of his right to the matt for his wrongful act of alienating the matt's Inam lands, and another Jangamaiyah appointed in his place, such successor being placed in possession of the matt's lands. Pending further orders on this point, the Inam lands on being resumed from the alienees, will be placed under the direct management of the Taluk Amildar as the local Muzrai Officer

Fair Dft -

Muzrai Secretary

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the records -